

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 CRIMINAL NO. 11-241 (DRD)

7 [67] EMANUEL SAINT FLEUR-NOEL,
8 a/k/a "St. Fleur Noel-Emanuel", "Chaka",

9 Defendant.

10 MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
11 RE: RULE 11 PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

12 **I. Procedural Background**

13 On September 19, 2011, a grand jury returned a superseding indictment against [67] Emanuel
14 Saint Fleur-Noel, (hereinafter referred to as "defendant") and other individuals. ECF No. 582. The
15 defendant has agreed to plead guilty to count one of the superseding indictment. Count one charges that
16 from in or about the year 1998, and continuing up to and until the return of the superseding indictment,
17 in the District of Puerto Rico, specifically in the Municipality of San Juan, La Perla Ward, and
18 elsewhere within the jurisdiction of this court, defendant [67] Emanuel Saint Fleur-Noel and other
19 individuals did knowingly and intentionally, combine, conspire, and agree with each other, and with
20 diverse other persons known and unknown to the grand jury, to commit an offense against the United
21 States, that is, to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute and distribute controlled
22 substances, to wit: in excess of one (1) kilogram of heroin, a Schedule I, Narcotic Drug Controlled
23 Substance; in excess of five (5) kilograms of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled
24 Substance; in excess of one thousand (1,000) kilograms of marijuana, a Schedule I, Controlled
25 Substance; a detectable amount of oxycodone (commonly known as "Percocet"), a Schedule II
26 Controlled Substance; and/or a detectable amount of alprazolam (commonly known as "Xanax"), a
27 Schedule IV Controlled Substance; within one thousand (1,000) feet of the real property comprising a
28

1 public school, as prohibited by Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 860, all in violation
2 of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

3 **II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge**

4 On July 1, 2013, the defendant, by consent, appeared before the undersigned in order to change
5 his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to count one of the superseding indictment. In open
6 court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held and was advised of: (a)
7 the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and
8 that it was expected that his answers would be truthful; (c) the potential consequences of lying under
9 oath (such as a perjury charge); and (d) his right to have the change of plea proceedings presided by a
10 district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the differences between
11 the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consented to proceed before the undersigned
12 magistrate judge.

13 **III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure**

14 **A. Rule 11(c)(1) Requirements**

15 Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of
16 guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of
17 guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be
18 knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads
19 guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of
20 his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting
McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns
in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3)
knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47
F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1st Cir. 1991)).

21 United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999).

22 **B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights**

23 To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his
24 right:

25 1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the
26 burden of proving him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

1

3

2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no adverse inference could be made in relation
3 to his decision not to testify.

4. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and
5 cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the
6 government's evidence.

7. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be
8 convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of admissible evidence.

9. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

10 Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his
11 attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined
12 that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

13 **C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty**

14 Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences
15 of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his
16 guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and will be convicted solely on
17 his statement that he is guilty.

18 Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be
19 allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed,
20 and that if he violates the conditions of supervised release, that privilege could be revoked and he could
21 be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been
22 abolished.

23 In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted
24 on count one as charged, he will face the following penalties: a term of imprisonment which shall not
25 be less than ten (10) years or greater than twice life imprisonment, a fine not to exceed twenty million
26 dollars (\$20,000,000), and a term of supervised release of at least ten (10) years. However, if the court
27 accepts this plea agreement and if the court accepts the stipulated amount of narcotics possessed by the
28 defendant, that is, at least five hundred (500) grams, but less than two (2) kilograms of cocaine, the

2 penalty for the offense shall be a term of imprisonment of not less than five (5) years and not more than
3 eighty (80) years, a fine not to exceed ten million dollars (\$10,000,000), and a term of supervised release
4 of at least eight (8) years, all pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, § 841(b)(1)(B). Defendant was
5 also made aware that the court must impose a mandatory penalty assessment of one hundred dollars
6 (\$100) per offense pursuant Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013(a).

7 The defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the court to decide
8 in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term and fine were to be imposed upon
9 him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea for that reason alone. The defendant understood this.

10 The defendant acknowledged that at this stage no guarantees or promises as to the sentence to
11 be imposed had been made to him. The defendant was also explained what the supervised release term
12 means and was urged to cooperate with the United States Probation Office.

D. Plea Agreement¹

4 The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed by the
5 government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was
6 clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.

2. The plea agreement is an agreement between the defendant, defense counsel and the attorney
for the government which is presented as a recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable
sentencing adjustments and guidelines, which are advisory.

21 3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated sentencing
22 guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the sentencing court.

23 4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation contained therein, the
24 sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea agreement and impose any sentence up to the
25 maximum possible penalty prescribed by statute.

¹ “Plea agreement” refers to the agreement and its supplement, if any supplement was actually filed by the parties.

Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation as well as all the terms and conditions of the plea agreement.

4 **E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)**

5 The government presented a proffer of its evidence consistent with the version of facts of the plea
6 agreement with which the defendant concurred. Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact
7 and evidence to establish all the elements of the offense charged.

8 **F. Voluntariness**

9 The defendant accepted that no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he
10 did not feel pressured to plead guilty.

11 **G. Waiver of Appeal**

12 The defendant was explained, and he understood, that if the court accepts the plea agreement and
13 sentences him according to its terms and conditions, he will be surrendering his right to appeal the
14 sentence and judgment in this case.

15 **IV. Conclusion**

16 The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of
17 Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one of the superseding indictment. After
18 cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject
19 matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding sections, I find that defendant is competent
20 to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory
21 penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence,
22 has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary
23 manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea. Therefore, I recommend that the
24 court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one
25 of the superseding indictment.

26 This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d)
27 of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the
28 Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of its receipt. Rule 72(d), Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ.

P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the
right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

4 SO RECOMMENDED.

5 In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 21st day of July, 2013.

6 s/Marcos E. López
7 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28